

interests in the vicinity of the terminal facilities. Accordingly, in 1991, the President granted certification to the Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council (RCAC). The authority to certify alternative advisory groups was subsequently delegated to the Commandant of the Coast Guard, and redelegated to the Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security, and Environmental Protection.

On May 11, 1995, in the **Federal Register**, the Coast Guard announced the availability of the application for recertification that it received from the RCAC and requested comments (60 FR 25257). It received twenty-four comments.

Discussion of Comments

Twenty-three comments support recertification of RCAC without reservation. However, a comment from a member of the oil-tanker industry argues that three issues need to be resolved if the RCAC is to be effective.

The comment states that, "[d]uring the past year, RCAC has made a concerted effort to improve its relationship with the tanker industry." However, despite the positive notes, the comment presses those three issues. "First, is acceptance of RCAC's role as advisory as defined in OPA '90. Second, is RCAC's efforts to influence decision making through political and lobbying efforts. Third, and most important, is trust between RCAC and industry." The Coast Guard has forwarded the comment to RCAC and asked the members to review the issues, consider what is necessary to resolve the issues, and provide a response to the Coast Guard.

It is the Coast Guard's position that those three issues can be addressed successfully by RCAC and that, in fact, progress has been made on the issues during the past year. In light of this, and the many positive comments received regarding RCAC's performance during the past year, the Coast Guard has determined that recertification of RCAC in accordance with the Act is appropriate. The Coast Guard has informed RCAC that documentation should be included in RCAC's recertification application next year indicating how each of the issues has been addressed.

Recertification: By letter dated June 23, 1995, the Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security, and Environmental Protection certified that the RCAC qualifies as an alternative voluntary advisory group under 33 U.S.C. 2732(o). This recertification terminates on June 30, 1996.

Dated: July 11, 1995.

J.C. Card,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 95-17489 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

Federal Aviation Administration

[AC No. 20-AIR-DU]

Proposed Advisory Circular (AC) on Voluntary Industry Distributor/Dealer Accreditation Program

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: The proposed AC is intended to provide information and guidance regarding voluntary accreditation programs for distributors and dealers of civil aircraft parts.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 15, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Send all comments and requests for copies of the proposed AC to: Federal Aviation Administration, Aircraft Maintenance Division Attention: AFS-350, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard E. Nowak, AFS-350, at the above address; telephone: (202) 267-7228 (8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. EDT).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The guidance material in this AC describes voluntary programs in which distributors and dealers of civil aircraft parts can obtain accreditation of quality control systems, which would assure that the approval status of their parts is properly documented.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on June 30, 1995.

William J. White,

Deputy Director, Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc. 95-17407 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

[Summary Notice No. PE-95-23]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain

petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATE: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before August 7, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. _____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. D. Michael Smith, Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-7470.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 11, 1995.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 28223

Petitioner: Executive Air Fleet, Inc.
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.25(b) and (c)

Description of Relief Sought: To allow Executive Air Fleet, Inc., to operate its aircraft without having the exclusive use of at least one aircraft that meets the requirements for at least one kind of operation authorized in the certificate holder's operations specifications.

Docket No.: 28224

Petitioner: Mr. W.H. Symmes
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.383(c)

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Mr. Symmes to act as a pilot in operations conducted under part 121 after reaching his 60th birthday.

Docket No.: 28242

Petitioner: Trans World Airlines, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.574(a)(1) and (3)

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Trans World Airlines, Inc., (TWA) to allow its passengers to use portable oxygen equipment that has not been supplied by TWA nor maintained by TWA in accordance with its FAA-approved maintenance program.

Dispositions Of Petitions

Docket No.: 15078

Petitioner: Drug Enforcement Administration

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.117(a), (b), and (c); 91.127(c); 91.159(a); and 91.209 (a) and (d)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5506, which permits the DEA to conduct air operations in support of drug law enforcement and drug traffic interdiction. *GRANT, June 29, 1995, Exemption No. 5506A.*

Docket No.: 23465

Petitioner: Everts Air Fuel, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.9(a)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend and amend Exemption No. 4296, as amended, which permits Everts Air Fuel, Inc., to operate its McDonnell Douglas DC-6 aircraft, registration numbers N351CE, N451CE, and N4390F, and its McDonnell Douglas DC-6B aircraft, registration numbers N151 and N251CE, at a 5 percent increased zero fuel weight and landing weight for the purpose of operating all-cargo aircraft that provide supplies to people in isolated villages in Alaska. The amendment adds McDonnell Douglas DC-6 aircraft, registration numbers N888DG, N555SQ, and N999SQ to the listing of aircraft to be operated under the terms of the exemption. *GRANT, June 7, 1995, Exemption No. 4296E.*

Docket No.: 23477

Petitioner: Experimental Aircraft Association

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 103.1 (a) and (e)(1) through (e)(4)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 3784, as amended, which permits individuals authorized by EAA to give instruction in powered ultralight vehicles that have a maximum empty weight of not more than 496 pounds, have a maximum fuel capacity of not more than 10 U.S. gallons, are not

capable of more than 75 knots calibrated airspeed at full power in level flight, and have a poweroff stall speed that does not exceed 35 knots calibrated airspeed. *GRANT, June 30, 1995, Exemption No. 3784G.*

Docket No.: 23869

Petitioner: The Relative Workshop, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 105.43

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To amend Exemption No. 4943, as amended, which allows employees, representatives, and other adult volunteer parachute test jumpers of The Relative Workshop, Inc., to make tandem parachute jumps wearing a dual harness, dual parachute pack having at least one main parachute and one approved auxiliary parachute, packed in accordance with § 105.43(a). The amendment is two-fold: to revise the name of "The Relative Workshop, Inc.", to "The Uninsured Relative Workshop, Inc.", and to permit Ms. Shawna Huang, a 15-year-old minor diagnosed with terminal cancer, to fulfill her ultimate wish to skydive. *GRANT, June 8, 1995, Exemption No. 4943E.*

Docket No.: 24770

Petitioner: FlightSafety International

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(b)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58(b)(2) and (c)(1); 61.63(c)(2) and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65 (d) and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.163(a); 61.191(c); and appendix B of part 61

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5324, as amended, which allows FlightSafety International to use FAA-approved simulators to meet certain training and testing requirements of the above-listed sections. *GRANT, June 16, 1995, Exemption No. 5324B.*

Docket No.: 25120

Petitioner: Singapore Airlines Limited

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.197(c)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend and amend Exemption No. 4792, as amended, which permits the issuance to Singapore Airlines Limited of a special flight permit with a continuing authorization for seven specific Boeing 747-312 aircraft as delineated in your petition. The amendment removes aircraft N119KE, S/N 23030, and N120KF, S/N 23031. *GRANT, May 24, 1995, Exemption No. 4792E.*

Docket No.: 26237

Petitioner: MCI Telecommunications

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.611

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5332, as amended, which permits MCI to conduct certain ferry flights with one engine inoperative in its Falcon Trijet aircraft without obtaining a special flight permit for each flight. *GRANT, June 30, 1995, Exemption No. 5332B.*

Docket No.: 26608

Petitioner: Alaska Airlines, Inc./Atlantic Richfield Company/British Petroleum Exploration, Alaska, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.3(a), 43.7(a), 91.213(a), 91.407(a)(2), 91.417(a)(2)(v), and 121.379

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend and amend Exemption No. 5667, which permits Alaska Airlines, Inc., (ASA) to perform maintenance, preventive maintenance, alterations, inspections, major repairs, and major alterations, and, subsequently, to return to service the Boeing 737-200 series aircraft leased and operated by Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO) and British Petroleum Exploration, Alaska, Inc., (BPX). In addition, that exemption allows ARCO and BPX, operating under part 91, to follow procedures specifically authorized for air carrier operations with respect to the use of ASA's FAA-approved minimum equipment list (MEL) and FAA-approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program (CAMP) for the Boeing 737-200 series aircraft leased by and operated by ARCO and BPX. The amendment includes a letter of deviation authority issued by ARCO and BPX that addresses certain issues that are normally covered by operations specifications. *GRANT, June 27, 1995, Exemption No. 5667A.*

Docket No.: 26704

Petitioner: Virginia State Police Aviation Unit

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.127(c), 91.159(a), and 91.209(a)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To amend Exemption No. 5548, which provides the Virginia State Police Aviation Unit relief from the pertinent provisions of part 91 in order to conduct law enforcement air support. The amendment addresses the need to perform certain aircraft operations in noncompliance with the above regulations governing operations on or in the vicinity of an airport in Class E airspace, visual flight rules (VFR) cruising altitudes, and the use of aircraft lights. *PARTIAL GRANT, June 15, 1995, Exemption No. 5548A.*

Docket No.: 27202

Petitioner: Skydive Arizona, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 105.43(a)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5725, which permits nonstudent parachutists who are foreign nationals (foreign parachutists) to participate in Skydive Arizona, Inc. (SAI)-sponsored parachuting events held at SAI's facilities without having to comply with certain parachute equipment and packing requirements. *GRANT, June 7, 1995, Exemption No. 5725A.*

Docket No.: 27251

Petitioner: American Bonanza Society/Air Safety Foundation and Bonanza/Baron Pilot Proficiency Programs, Inc.
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.109 (a) and (b)(3)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend and amend Exemption No. 5733, which permits American Bonanza Society/Air Safety Foundation (ABS/ASF) instructors to provide recurrent flight training and simulated instrument flight training in Beech Baron and Travel Air type aircraft, equipped with a functioning throwover control wheel, for the purpose of meeting recency of experience requirements contained in §§ 61.56 (a), (b), and (f), and 61.57 (e)(1) and (e)(2). The amendment addresses three issues: to revise the applicability of the exemption to include Bonanza/Baron Pilot Proficiency Programs (BPPP) and the instructors who conduct training in association with BPPP; to permit the training to be conducted in Beech Bonanzas, as well as in Barons and Travel Airs; and to limit operations conducted under the exemption to recurrent flight instruction and simulated instrument flight instruction in Beech Bonanza, Baron, and Travel Air type aircraft equipped with a functioning throwover control wheel and operable rudder pedals, in lieu of functioning dual controls. *GRANT, June 9, 1995, Exemption No. 5733A.*

Docket No.: 27276

Petitioner: Haines Airways, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.3(g)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5678, which allows, when certificated mechanics are unavailable, appropriately trained and certificated pilots employed by Haines Airways, Inc., to remove and reinstall aircraft cabin seats in Piper PA-32 aircraft operated by Haines Airways, Inc. *GRANT, April 17, 1995, Exemption No. 5678A.*

Docket No.: 27309

Petitioner: Mr. David R. Abshire

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 65.71(a)(2)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5719, which enables Mr. Abshire to become eligible for a mechanic certificate and associated ratings although he cannot speak the English language. *GRANT, June 7, 1995, Exemption No. 5719A.*

Docket No.: 27330

Petitioner: Crow Executive Air, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.3(g)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5731, which permits pilots employed by Crow Executive Air, Inc. (CEA), to remove or replace the cabin seats on aircraft used in operations conducted by CEA under part 135. *GRANT, June 7, 1995, Exemption No. 5731A.*

Docket No.: 27570

Petitioner: HMT Sales

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.3(g)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend and amend Exemption No. 5866, which permits appropriately trained and certificated pilots employed by HMT, a certificated part 135 operator, which operates an aircraft with fewer than 9 seats, to remove and reinstall aircraft cabin seats and stretchers in HMT's Piper PA 34-200T aircraft. The amendment changes the name of the petition from "George A. Tomlinson, dba H.M.T., LTD.", to "Tomlinson, Inc., dba HMT Sales." *GRANT, May 24, 1995, Exemption No. 5866A.*

Docket No.: 27595

Petitioner: Garlick Helicopters, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 36.1(a)(4)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To exempt the Restricted Category Type Certificate (TC) H13WE of Garlick Helicopters, Inc., from the applicable noise certification requirements of part 36. *DENIAL, June 26, 1995, Exemption No. 6116.*

Docket No.: 27833

Petitioner: Air Tractor Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.313(d)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit a passenger to be carried in an Air Tractor AT-503A and AT-802 restricted category aircraft without that passenger performing one of the functions described in § 91.313(d). *DENIAL, June 1, 1995, Exemption No. 6095.*

Docket No.: 27844

Petitioner: Mr. James W. Smith, Jr.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.101a(a)(1)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Mr. Smith to carry up to three passengers in a Cessna 172 aircraft. *DENIAL, June 22, 1995, Exemption No. 6112.*

Docket No.: 27881

Petitioner: TransNorthern Aviation, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.3(g)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To amend Exemption No. 6031 by changing the name of the petitioner from "TransNorthern Air Service" to "TransNorthern Aviation, Inc.", to reflect a name change that occurred as a result of the petitioner's incorporation. *GRANT, May 24, 1995, Exemption No. 6031A.*

Docket No.: 27931

Petitioner: Mr. Edward R. Thornton

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.27

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit issuance of a pilot certificate to Mr. Thornton at the grade of commercial pilot with instrument and multiengine ratings, and a flight instructor certificate with a glider rating, on the basis of oral tests and flight checks but without written testing. *DENIAL, May 24, 1995, Exemption No. 6091.*

Docket No.: 28043

Petitioner: Otis Spunkmeyer Air

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.1(b)(2)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Otis Spunkmeyer Air to conduct sightseeing flights, under part 91, up to 55 statute miles from a departure airport rather than 25 statute miles as limited by § 135.1(b)(2). *DENIAL, June 7, 1995, Exemption No. 6096.*

[FR Doc. 95-17437 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Federal Transit Administration

Transfer of Federally Assisted Land or Facility

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to transfer Federally assisted land or facility.

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Laws permit the Administrator of the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to authorize a recipient of FTA funds to transfer land or a facility to a public body for any public purpose with no further obligation to the Federal Government if, among other things, no Federal agency is interested in acquiring